



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,003	09/25/2003	Bruce L. Petersen	009-1-001	7693
75	90 08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN R. RAYVE			COLLINS, TIMOTHY D	
P.O. Box 11586	; UT 84147-0586		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Lake City,	01 04147-0500		3643	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			J
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_()
 .	10/672,003	PETERSEN, BRUCE L.	>
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	/
	Timothy D Collins	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>25 September 2003</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a			
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction as	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of Ionn'r 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	. 🗖		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o 10)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-26, drawn to a rotorcraft, classified in class 244, subclass 17.11.
 - II. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method of providing flight control, classified in class 244, subclass 75R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process being claimed can be used with a materially different product such as with a standard helicopter which does not have a monitoring system on the blades to monitor a position of the blades.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Note: if the applicant chooses Invention I, above, one of the following must also be chosen.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/672,003

Art Unit: 3643

a. Species A: rotorcraft with blades that are pivotable about respective longitudinal axis.

b. Species B: flaps mounted on blades.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 23 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/672,003

Art Unit: 3643

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D Collins whose telephone number is 703-306-9160. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy D. Collins
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3643

Peter M. Poon Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Vita M. Pm

8/9/01